CASE STUDIES - CS 8

High vibration and cavitation noise in Pump No: CP 7B

High vibration and cavitation noise in Pump No: CP 4B/ CP 5B

Introduction:

The following report has been prepared based on the observations made and flow/pressure data collected during the visit of Himadri Sen & Niloy Deb to the job site. The analysis has been supported by the performance and design information that we have about the existing pumps:

Pump Details:

- Pump Serial No: 81221366/920/1 Manufacturer: Mather & Platt Pump Model: 200/250 AST MK1 Design flow: 425 m³/hr, 20m @1480 rpm Rated flow: 350 m³/hr, 25m Actual Flow at present- Not measured. Pump runs with delivery valve throttled.
 - Main Problem: High Vibration
- Pump Serial No: 81221366/900 Manufacturer: Mather & Platt Pump Model: 350/450 CST Design flow: 1800 m³/hr, 60m @1480 rpm Rated flow: 1500 m³/hr, 60m Actual Flow at present- 1160 m³/hr
 - Main Problem : High vibration and cavitation noise

Problems with Pump Model: 200/250 AST MK1

This is a relatively low head pump and it will be seen from the performance curve attached that at part flow operation, the H-Q curve becomes flat. This zone represents a region susceptible to recirculation. Suction pipe layout for this pump is not ideal since there is a sharp bend parallel to the pump shaft very close to the suction flange. The vibration levels are high at part flow operation due to recirculation, effect of bend and radial thrust load. However, when the valve is opened, the vibration level becomes normal and acceptable. The pump bearing temperature was normal and no cavitation noise was present during our observations. Since this is a low energy pump working under positive suction head, our observations indicates that no remedial action is necessary other than running the pump near its rated capacity. We understand that this can be ensured after the plant is commissioned. The rest of the report, therefore, does not deal with this pump.





Problems with Pump Model: 350/450 CST

The pump has high vibration and very prominent cavitation noise.

Suction Layout:

Observations:

1. Sharp bend at the pump suction parallel to the pump shaft.

Effects:

- Axial Thrust
- Unequal flow and flow disturbances
- Increased vibration

Explanation:

When a bend in the suction line is located asymmetrically relative to the suction of the pump distribution of the flow between the two halves of the impeller may be uneven. This occurs when a uniform flow passes through a single bend, because it exits with a non uniform velocity distribution as shown in the figure 1. As a result of this distortion of the uniformity of flow, each suction inlet of the impeller receives a different flow rate.

A double-suction pump can be considered to consist of a combination of two identical, singlesuction impellers operating in parallel and discharging into a common casing. Let curve A in fig 2 represent the Q-H characteristics of each of the single suction impellers. Because of their parallel operation, the pump delivers a resultant flow rate equal to the sum of all the flow rates that each of these impellers delivers against the given head. The actual Q-H curve of the pump shows a flow rate equal to twice the flow rate delivered by each of the given head. This is shown as curve B. We have also shown a curve C which represents the resistance of the pumping system to a given flow rate. The actual flow against which the pump operates is at the intersection M of curve B & C. It is equal to the flow Qb= 2Qa.

This means that a bend immediately upstream of the suction inlet and parallel to the shaft of a double-suction pump causes an uneven distribution of the flows entering each side of the impeller. One side of the partial impeller delivers a flow rate equal to Qa- δ Qa against head H1. The other side of the impeller delivers a flow rate Qa+ δ Qa against a head H2. At the impeller outlet both flows encounter the same resistance Hm which has been determined by the intersection of curves B & C.

At the impeller outlet, the flow rate $Qa-\delta Qa$ encounters a sudden drop in pressure from H1 to Hm. This effect is similar to that of a sudden breakdown in the discharge line. A sudden acceleration of the flow occurs, resulting in cavitation upstream of the corresponding partial

impeller. The flow rate Qa+ δ Qa, encounters a sudden increase in the resistance to flow, from H2 to Hm. This has an effect equivalent to a sudden shut off of a discharge valve, and strong water hammer may result and the pump may operate with high vibration.







6

Figure 2

Cavitation noise & high vibrations:

Observations:

• Part flow operation leading to recirculation

Effects:

- High radial load
- Recirculation

Explanations:

Calculation of radial load:

This pump has its best efficiency point (BEP) at 1800m3/hr, 60m. Pump rated operating point = 1500 m3/hr, 60m Actual operating point - 1160m3/hr, 79m Specific speed = 2508 in US units Impeller diameter = 475mm Impeller width= 104 mm (including shrouds) Radial thrust= K .H. B2. D2. P. g = 5.81KN (at actual operating point) Radial thrust = 4 KN (at rated duty of 1500 m3/hr) and 2 KN (at BEP) Above shows that the radial load is high at the current operating point.

Recirculation:

The pump is operating at part flow i.e. 1160m3/hr which is 64% of the BEP flow. According to ANSI HI 9.6.3-1997, if the specific speed is below 4500 US units the preferred operating region lies between 70% and 120% of the BEP. Otherwise flow reversal takes place leading to cavitaion and vibration.

Supporting calculations:

According to Warren H Fraser, the recirculation is the point of reversal and that point occurs when the centrifugal pressure field and dynamic pressure fields are equal.

Here,

Outside impeller diameter D2 = 475mm

Eye diameter D1= 280mm

Hub diameter h1=110mm

Discharge diagram vane angle $\beta 2= 22 \text{ deg}$

Inlet diagram vane angle β 1= 30 deg

N= 1480 rpm

Here, D1/D2 =0.58

Flow1 = $(D2^{2}x B2 \times N/6.089)x Cm2/U2$ m3/s......1 Flow2= $(D1 (D1^{2}-h1^{2})xN \times \frac{Ve}{U1})/(2.53 \times 10^{10})$ m3/s......2

Since D1/D2=0.58 so suction recirculation is either (1) or (2) whichever is greater.

From curves,

Cm2/U2= .086

Ve/U1= 0.17

By calculating the values, Flow2 is greater than Flow1 and it is approximately 1500m3/hr.

This suggests that for any flow less than the rated flow of 1500 m3/hr, recirculation can not be avoided in this installation while using the existing impeller.



Recommendations: For 350/450 CST our recommendations will be as follows:

- 1. Providing flow guides in the suction bend to ensure equal flow to both the impeller eyes.
- 2. Operating the pump closer to its rated duty/ best efficiency point to avoid recirculation flow and cavitation.
- 3. If recommendation 2 cannot be implemented because of system constraints, it will be beneficial to use a low capacity impeller with BEP close to the current operating flow of 1160m³/hr. We were informed that the capacity of the pumps will continue to be about 1160m³/hr for a long time to come.

Proposal for a New impeller for 350/450 CST:

You will find attached approximate dimensions of the impeller of 350/450 CST. We have also attached the dimensions of an impeller that we can specially design for the installation. You will find the respective characteristic curves for these two impellers attached to this report. We can supply one impeller and a pair of wear rings to you for trying out on one of the existing pumps. If this reduces vibration and cavitation noise significantly you may change all the impellers to low capacity ones. Existing impellers and wear rings can be retained and used when the capacity requirement moves back to at least 1500m³/hr per pump.













